

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/844,656	04/30/2001	John Mantegna	06975-208001/Processing 0	9011
26171 7	7590 06/15/2004		EXAMINER	
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.			QURESHI, SHABANA	
1425 K STREI	ET, N.W.			
11TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005-3500		2155	
			DATE MAIL ED. 06/15/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



e i	\$	Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/844,656	MANTEGNA, JOHN
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit
		Shabana Qureshi	2155
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica	tion appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address
A SH THE - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA nations of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a recation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty only period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
,—	Responsive to communication(s) filed of This action is FINAL . 2b) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice	☐ This action is non-final. allowance except for formal matte	·
Dispositi	ion of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the appli 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.	
Applicati	ion Papers		
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the E The drawing(s) filed on 30 April 2001 is. Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object on to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan e correction is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)l	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in Ap the priority documents have been I Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage
2) Notice 3) Information Paper	t(s) be of References Cited (PTO-892) be of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT- r No(s)/Mail Date	-948) Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) c)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/844,656

Art Unit: 2155

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Paradine et al (US Patent No. 6,049,565) in view of Erkelens et al¹.

As per claims 1, 2 and 3, Paradine et al teach the method, computer program, and computer system for detecting whether a microphone is connected to a real-time audio communication system of a computer comprising:

- recording all audio sample through the real-time audio communication system (column 4, lines 26-35);
- filtering a DC component out of the audio sample (column 4, lines 36-45);
- comparing the filtered audio sample parameters to predetermined values (column 6, lines 17-20); and
- determining whether a microphone is properly connected to the real-time audio communication system based on a relationship between the values of the sample's parameters the predetermined values (column 5, lines 16-25, column 6, lines 7-25).

¹ J.S. Erkelens and P.M.T. Broersen, "Bias Propagation in the Autocorrelation Method of Linear Prediction", IEEE Trans. on Speech and Audio, vol. 5, pp. 116-119, 1997.

Application/Control Number: 09/844,656

Art Unit: 2155

Although Paradine teaches that there are many methods that allow one to determine whether or not the sample is a voice sample (column 5, lines 16-25), Paradine et al do not go into detail about the parameters involved, such as auto-correlation coefficients of the filtered audio sample. However, Erkelens et al teaches the determining of auto-correlation coefficients of and audio sample (page 116). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the auto-correlation coefficients taught by Erkelens in the teachings of Paradine et al so to perform linear prediction in order to determine whether the signal is a voice signal (Erkelens et al, page 116; Paradine et al, page 5, lines 15-25).

Paradine et al also do not explicitly state that the determination that a signal is a voice signal results in the determination that a microphone is properly connected to the real-time audio communication system. However, official notice is taken that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that the detection of a voice signal means that the microphone is properly connected, because if it was not properly connected, the there would be no voice signal.

Application/Control Number: 09/844,656

Art Unit: 2155

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Shabana Qureshi whose telephone number is (703) 308-6118.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:30am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Hosain T. Alam can be reached on (703) 308-6662. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Shabana Qureshi

Page 4

Examiner

Art Unit 2155

SQ

14 June, 2004

HOSAIN ALAM

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER